Appendix 1.2

Civil Penalty Policy for Private Sector Housing Related Offences

Introduction

This policy for determining the level of Financial Penalties under the Housing and Planning Act 2016 follows the DCLG Guidance 'Civil Penalties under the Housing and Planning Act 2016 - Guidance for Local Housing Authorities' published in April 2017. When reading this policy the term 'financial penalty' and 'civil penalty' should be read as one and the same thing.

This policy sets out the framework within which decisions will normally be made with regard to issuing financial penalties for certain specified offences under the Housing Act 2004 as referred to in the South Somerset District Council, Private Sector Housing Enforcement Policy. The legal basis for the power to impose a financial penalty is section 126 and Schedule 9 of the Housing and Planning Act 2016.

The same criminal standard of proof is required for a financial penalty as for prosecution. This means that before taking formal action, a local housing authority should satisfy itself that if the case were to be prosecuted in the magistrates' court, there would be a realistic prospect of conviction.

The maximum penalty is £30,000 but the amount is determined by the local authority in each case having regard to the above statutory guidance. It is expected that the maximum amount is reserved for the very worst offenders. The actual amount levied in any particular case should reflect the severity of the offence, as well as taking account of the landlord's previous record of offending.

An offence which results in a high risk of harm will increase the severity of the offence. Likewise an offence which is the result of a failure to act following a formal requirement to do so, such as failure to comply with an improvement notice, will increase the severity of the offence. A higher level of culpability or responsibility of the offence will also increase the severity of the offence.

The aim of this policy is that the level of financial penalty reflects the severity of the offence with a greater severity resulting in a higher penalty. Aggravating factors, mitigating factors and the value of the assets of the offender are also taken into account to determine the value of the financial penalty.

An appeal against the issue of a Civil Penalty notice is heard by the First-tier Tribunal.

Statutory Guidance

The Government Guidance referred to previously states that local housing authorities should consider the following factors to help ensure that the financial penalty is set at an appropriate level:

- a) **Severity of the offence**. The more serious the offence, the higher the penalty should be.
- b) **Culpability and track record of the offender**. A higher penalty will be appropriate where the offender has a history of failing to comply with their obligations and/or their actions were deliberate and/or they knew, or ought to have known, that they were in breach of their legal responsibilities. Landlords are running a business and should be expected to be aware of their legal obligations.
- c) **The harm caused to the tenant**. This is a very important factor when determining the level of penalty. The greater the harm or the potential for harm (this may be as perceived by the tenant), the higher the amount should be when imposing a financial penalty.
- d) **Punishment of the offender**. A financial penalty should not be regarded as an easy or lesser option compared to prosecution. While the penalty should be proportionate and reflect both the severity of the offence and whether there is a pattern of previous offending, it is important that it is set at a high enough level to help ensure that it has a real economic impact on the offender and demonstrates the consequences of not complying with their responsibilities.
- e) **Deter the offender from repeating the offence**. The ultimate goal is to prevent any further offending and help ensure that the landlord fully complies with all of their legal responsibilities in future. The level of the penalty should therefore be set at a high enough level such that it is likely to deter the offender from repeating the offence.
- f) **Deter others from committing similar offences**. While the fact that someone has received a financial penalty will not be in the public domain, it is possible that other landlords in the local area will become aware through informal channels when someone has received a financial penalty. An important part of deterrence is the realisation that (a) the local housing authority is proactive in levying financial penalties where the need to do so exists and (b) that the level of financial penalty will be set at a high enough level to both punish the offender and deter repeat offending.
- g) Remove any financial benefit the offender may have obtained as a result of committing the offence. The guiding principle here should be to ensure that the offender does not benefit as a result of committing an offence, i.e. it should not be cheaper to offend than to ensure a property is well maintained and properly managed.

Assessment process

A judgement is made on the level of culpability and harm and used to identify a range for the financial penalty. Aggravating and mitigating factors are then applied to calculate an initial value of financial penalty. The initial value is then adjusted in the light of the offender's assets following the statutory guidance to achieve the financial penalty.

In formulating this assessment process, the principles of the 'Sentencing Council: Health and Safety Offences, Corporate Manslaughter and Food Safety and Hygiene Offences - Definitive Guidelines' have been used as a guide to help develop the culpability, harm and fine levels.

The process is divided into a number of steps and these are described in detail below.

- Step 1 Decide the level of culpability
- Step 2 Decide the level of harm/potential harm
- Step 3 Consider aggravating and mitigating factors
- Step 4 Assets check
- Step 5 Review the penalty
- Step 6 Totality principle for multiple offences
- Step 7 Reduction for early payment and admission of guilt
- Step 8 Decision to impose a charge and level of charge imposed

Each step contains definitions and guidance (below) on what elements should be considered when making a judgement. These definitions are not limiting and other relevant information may be included as appropriate.

Step 1 – decide the level of culpability

Key factors	Definition				
Culpability	Very high Deliberate breach of or flagrant disregard for the law				
	 High Offender fell far short of the appropriate standard; for example, by: failing to put in place measures that are recognised standards; ignoring concerns raised by officers, tenants, employees or others allowing breaches to subsist over a long period of time 				
	Serious and/or systemic failure to address the risks				
	Medium Offender fell short of the appropriate standard in a manner that falls between descriptions in 'high' and 'low' culpability categories				
	Systems were in place but these were not sufficiently adhered to or implemented				
	Low Offender did not fall far short of the appropriate standard; for example, because:				
	significant efforts were made to meet housing legislation although they were inadequate on this occasion				
	there was no warning/circumstance indicating a risk to safety and breach of legislation				
	Failings were minor and occurred as an isolated incident				

Step 2 – Decide the level of harm/potential harm

Key factors	Definition
Harm	 High Serious adverse effect(s) on individual(s), other relevant parties and/or community High risk of an adverse effect on individual(s) including vulnerable groups/community Medium Adverse effect on individual(s)/community Risk of an adverse effect on individual(s)/community Tenants misled regarding compliance
	 Low Low risk of an adverse effect on individual(s)/ community Some actual but small adverse effect on individual(s)/community

Step 3 – Consider aggravating and mitigating factors

A further adjustment upward or downward within the stated range in Table 1 should then be considered for any mitigating or aggravating factors.

Aggravating factors	 Include but not limited to: Previous convictions, having regard to a) the nature of the offence to which the conviction relates and its relevance to the current offence; and b) the time that has elapsed since the conviction Motivated by financial gain Deliberate concealment of illegal nature of activity Evidence of wider/community impact Breach of any court order Obstruction of officers in their duties Poor track record of compliance with legal obligations Refusal of free advice or training Poor condition of the property More than one hazard/multiple hazards (improvement notices) Vulnerable people living at the property*
Mitigating factors	 Include but not limited to: No previous convictions or no relevant/recent convictions Steps taken voluntarily to remedy problem High level of co-operation with the investigation, beyond that which will always be expected Good history of compliance / no history of non-compliance Self-reporting, co-operation and acceptance of responsibility

The above list is not exclusive and does not limit the consideration of other relevant aggravating and mitigating factors. These factors will be considered to the extent that the authority is reasonably aware.

Having considered the culpability and harm, and taking into account aggravating and mitigating factors (steps 1-3) Table 1 provides an initial assessment of the appropriate level of Civil Penalty for each offence. Thereafter steps 4-7 are carried out to reach the final penalty level.

Table 1. Financial Penalty Levels

Harm and culpability		Aggravating and Mitigating factors Range		
assessment	Starting point	Low	High	
Very high culpability				
High harm	£15,000	£6,250	£30,000	
Medium harm	£6,250	£2,500	£12,500	
Low harm	£2,500	£1,250	£4,500	
High culpability				
High harm	£6,250	£2,500	£12,500	
Medium harm	£3,000	£1,000	£5,500	
Low harm	£1,000	£500	£2,250	
Medium culpability				
High harm	£2,500	£750	£4,500	
Medium harm	£1,000	£350	£2,000	
Low harm	£350	£175	£750	
Low culpability				
High harm	£400	£125	£750	
Medium harm	£125	£50	£350	
Low harm	£50	£25	£175	

Step 4 – Assets check

Use of existing powers to, as far as possible, make an assessment of a landlord's assets and any income (not just rental income) they receive to determining an appropriate penalty. In setting a financial penalty, the Council will usually conclude that the offender is able to pay any financial penalty imposed unless the Council has obtained or the offender has supplied any financial information to the contrary. An offender will be expected to disclose to the Council such data relevant to his financial position to enable to Council to assess what an offender can reasonably afford to pay. Representation on this may be made following a notice of intention to charge.

Step 5 – Review the penalty

A check should be made as to whether the level of financial penalty reached meets, in a fair and proportionate way, the objectives of punishment, deterrence and the removal of gain derived through the commission of the offence; it should not be cheaper to offend than to take appropriate precautions.

Where appropriate, wider consideration should be given to a reduction in the penalty taking account of the impact on innocent third parties, such as (but not limited to): the offender's ability to comply with the law or make restitution to victims; employment of staff, service users, customers and the local economy.

Step 6 – Totality principle for multiple offences

When issuing financial penalties for more than one offence, it will be considered whether the total penalties are just and proportionate to the offending behaviour.

The Council will add up the penalties and consider if they are just and proportionate. If the total is not just and proportionate the Council will consider how to reach a just and proportionate financial penalty. This will be carried out in accordance with the Sentencing Council - Offences Taken into Consideration and Totality, Definitive Guideline.

Step 7 - Reduction for early admission of guilt

Once a final notice is issued, if there has been an admission of guilt and payment is received in full within 28 days then a 25% reduction may be applied. When deciding on any reduction in a financial penalty, consideration will be given to:

- The stage of the investigation or thereafter when the offender admitted guilt
- The circumstances in which they admitted guilt
- The degree of co-operation with the investigation

Step 8-Decision to impose a charge and level of charge imposed

The amount of each Civil Penalty will be agreed by the Lead Specialist for Environmental Health in conjunction with Legal Services and the imposition of the charge and the level of charge imposed will be authorised by an appropriate director.

Annex 1: Officers assessment form

	F	inancia	l Penalty A	ssessme	nt form		
Date:							
Officer:							
Property address:							
Offender:							
	Name:						
Address (re							
	ddress):						
Date of	offence:						
Background and		ile alleç	ged Offerior				
Step 1: Culpabili Detailed explanat							Low
							Medium
							High
							Very high
Step 2: Level of harm (potential or actual) Detailed explanation:					Low		
							Medium
							High
Culpability/harm assessment: (Refer to Table 1: Financial penalty levels)							
	Min		Starting	point	M	ах	
	£		£			£	
'	~~	I		L_			
Step 3(a): Aggravating factors							
Explanation of all	aggravating	factors:					

Combination of factors considered to be: Substantial / Significant / Minor / N/A
Increase in penalty amount based on aggravating factors £ Not to exceed maximum.
Step 3(b): Mitigating factors (move down within band to reduce charge)
Explanation of mitigating factors:
Combination of factors considered to be: Substantial / Significant / Minor / N/A
Decrease in penalty amount based on mitigating factors £ Not to go below minimum.
Proposed penalty Explanation of rationale:
Step 4: Asset Check (assume can pay the max unless evidence otherwise)
Factors to consider:
Explanation:
Step 5: Review the penalty Does the revised penalty level align with the statutory guidance (summarised above). Full explanation if further revision required.
explanation in future revision required.
Step 6: Totality principle
Where it is proposed to serve more than one financial penalty notice (FPN) – consider if totality principle is just and proportionate in accordance with the 'Offences Taken into
Consideration and Totality – Definitive Guideline'. Full details to be given:
Steps 7 and 8 The initial charge is to be calculated following the steps above and then signed off by the
appropriate Director. If following the next stage there is an admission of guilt then a further reduction can be made.
Signed by Directordatedate

Serve notice of intention to charge.
Date served:
Parameter Communication 1
Representation received
Date:
Details:
Details.
Further revision of charge following consideration of aggravating and mitigating factors and assets representation
Explanation:
•
Final penalty charge amount
periancy errange announce
£
Step 7: Reduction for early payment and admission of guilt
25% discount if admission of guilt and paid within 28 days.
Applied: Yes / No / Why:
Serve final charge notice
Date served:
Record debt

Annex 2: List of vulnerable persons

*Vulnerable people

Non exhaustive list of vulnerable people:

- Disabled persons
- People on a low income
- Persons with a drug or alcohol addiction
- Victims of domestic abuse
- People with complex health conditions
- Victims of trafficking or sexual exploitation
- Refugees
- Asylum seekers
- People at risk of harassment or eviction
- People at risk of homelessness